

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
7  
8

9 Anna Colvin,

No. CV-23-00131-TUC-JCH

10 Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

11 v.

12 Commissioner of Social Security  
13 Administration,

14 Defendant.

15 Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Maria Aguilera's Report and Recommendation  
16 ("R&R"). Doc. 21. Judge Aguilera recommends affirming the decision of the Social  
17 Security Administration Commissioner. Doc. 21 at 1. Neither party objects to the R&R.  
18 See generally docket.

19 A district court reviews objected-to portions of an R&R de novo. 28 U.S.C.  
20 § 636(b)(1); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Remsing*, 874 F.2d 614, 617  
21 (9th Cir. 1989). Failure to timely object may be considered a waiver of a party's right to de  
22 novo consideration of the issues. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22  
23 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The advisory committee's notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal  
24 Rules of Civil Procedure state that, "[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only  
25 satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the  
26 recommendation" of a magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to  
27 1983 addition. See also *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

28 After independent review, the Court finds no error and will adopt the R&R in full.


1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS ORDERED ADOPTING IN FULL** the R&R (Doc. 21).

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AFFIRMING** the Commissioner's decision. The  
4 Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

5 Dated this 7th day of December, 2023.

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
John C. Hinderaker  
United States District Judge